

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 6885	
10/634,519	08/04/2003	Nestor Tagle	VPA1.PAU.01		
23386	7590 07/19/2005	•	EXAMINER		
	AWES ANDRAS & SI ARTHUR BLVD.,	WUJCIAK, ALFRED J			
SUITE 1150	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
IRVINE, CA	A 92612	3632			

DATE MAILED: 07/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application I	Vo.	Applicant(s)				
Office Astion Courses		10/634,519		TAGLE, NESTOR				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Alfred Joseph	- 1	3632				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	ion appears on the co	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
THE - External form of the control o	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, I reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, lation.  ys, a reply within the statutory y period will apply and will ex by statute, cause the applicati	nowever, may a reply be time minimum of thirty (30) days pire SIX (6) MONTHS from on to become ABANDONEI	nely filed  s will be considered timet the mailing date of this or D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>09 May 2005</u> .						
2a)	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is non-final.						
3) 🗀	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
5)□	<ul> <li>Claim(s) 16-34,36 and 37 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-15 and 35 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>Claim(s) 16-34 and 37 is/are rejected.</li> <li>Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> </ul>							
Applicati	on Papers							
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 August 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note	the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doc  2. Certified copies of the priority doc  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International see the attached detailed Office action fo	uments have been re uments have been re le priority documents Bureau (PCT Rule 1	eceived. eceived in Application have been receive 7.2(a)).	on No d in this National	Stage			
Attachment	r(s)							
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	Interview Summary (					
3) 🔲 Inforn	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO No(s)/Mail Date	(SB/08) 5)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa Other:		) <del>.</del> 152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/634,519

Art Unit: 3632

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This is the first Office Action for the serial number 10/634,519, DIN STEREO SLOT MOUNTABLE AUTOMOBILE GAGUE CLUSTER, filed on 8/4/03.

## Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

On pages 3-4, section [0016] is indefinite because the paragraph is not explaining or describing the invention and that the entire of paragraph should be deleted for clarification.

Figures 3, 5, 6 and 7 shows reference numbers 33-36, which are not listed in the specification.

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 16-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 16-19,21-22,24-28,32-24 and 36 contain the trademark/trade name DIN. Where a trademark or trade name is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. See *Ex parte Simpson*, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982). The claim scope is uncertain since the trademark or trade name cannot be used properly to identify any particular

material or product. A trademark or trade name is used to identify a source of goods, and not the goods themselves. Thus, a trademark or trade name does not identify or describe the goods associated with the trademark or trade name. In the present case, the trademark/trade name is

used to identify/describe DIN and, accordingly, the identification/description is indefinite.

Claims 20,23, and 30-31 are rejected as depending on rejected claim 19. Claim 37 is rejected as depending on rejected claim 36.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent # 4,313,584 to Fukunaga.

Fukunaga teaches a device (23) comprising an enclosure (37) for fitting in slot (20) of vehicle.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 19-22, 24-26 and 32-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fukunaga.

Fukunaga teaches a device (23) comprising an enclosure (37) for fitting in slot (20) of vehicle. The device includes at least one wire (35) and having at least one detent (42, 44a) having at least one metallic leaf spring detent (44a). The device includes at least one locking

detent (30). The device includes a rectangular bezel (38).

Fukunaga teaches device having meter but fails to teach plurality of meters (gauge). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have added additional meters to Fukunaga's device to provide additional information on the dashboard for status of vehicle body and engine.

In regards to claim 34, Fukunaga teaches the rectangular bezel but fails to teach the bezel is in oval shape. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified shape of Fukunaga's bezel with oval shape to improve ornament appearance on the dashboard.

Claims 27-28 and 36-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fukunaga in view of US Patent # 6,318,679 to Yang et al.

Fukunaga teaches a device (23) comprising an enclosure (37) for fitting in slot (20) of vehicle. The device includes at least one wire (35) and having at least one detent (42, 44a)

Application/Control Number: 10/634,519

Art Unit: 3632

having at least one metallic leaf spring detent (44a). The device includes at least one locking detent (30).

Fukunaga teaches the locking detent (30) with a locking tab (located on both ends of opening area in element 30 that connects to element 42) but fails to teach the locking detent comprises of at least one metallic leaf spring locking detent. Yang et al. teaches the leaf spring locking detent (34). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Fukunaga's locking detent with at least one metallic leaf spring locking detent as taught by Yang et al. to provide spring force on the locking detent for locking onto the detent when the enclosure is slid inside of slot.

Claims 29-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fukunaga in view of US Patent # 5,376,917 to Yoshimoto et al.

Fukunaga teaches the gauge (meter) but fails to teach the gauge having a digital readout. Yoshimoto et al. teaches gauge (27') having the digital readout. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Fukunaga's meter with digital readout as taught by Yoshimoto et al. to provide designer's preference for reading the meter/gauge.

In regards to claim 30, Fukunaga in view of Yoshimoto et al. teaches the gauge having at least one gauge but fails to teach the gauge cluster having plurality of gauges with digital readouts. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention Art Unit: 3632

was made to have added additional digital gauges to provide additional information on the dashboard for status of vehicle body and engine.

Claims 23 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fukunaga in view of US Patent # 5,091,856 to Hasegawa et al.

Fukunaga teaches the device having gauge but fails to teach the device comprising a voltage, oil pressure and water temperature gauges/meters and the device having at least one sensor that is electronically compatible with at least one gauge. Hasegawa et al. teaches the device comprising voltage, oil pressure and water temperature gauges/meters and the device (col. 4, lines 25-31) having at least one sensor (27). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have added sensor to Fukunaga's gauge as taught by Hasegawa et al to provide additional information on status of engine and an electrical control on the gauge.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

US Patent # 4,993,668 to Inamura

US Patent # 4,623,110 to Kanari

US Patent # 5,169,097 to Yasukawa

US Patent # 5,467,947 to Quilling, II

Application/Control Number: 10/634,519

Art Unit: 3632

US Patent # 5,779,197 to Kim

US Patent # 6,158,802 to Akagi et al.

US Patent # 6,651,936 to Upson et al.

Inamura, Kanari, Yasukawa, Quilling, II, Kim, Akagi et al. and Upson et al. teach an electronic device secured in slot of instrument.

Page 7

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alfred Joseph Wujciak III whose telephone number is (571) 272-6827. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski can be reached on (571) 272-6815. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alfred Joseph Wujciak III

Afril Wiffell

Examiner

Art Unit 3632

7/14/05